

HP Forum Archive 16

[[Return to Index](#) | [Top of Index](#)]

OT: SHARP PC1600... Help :-)

Message #1 Posted by [gilen0](#) on 15 Oct 2006, 3:17 p.m.

```
I recorded a program in the format SAVE"COM1:",A
I don't get to read him again! !
I use the command LOAD"COM1:"
He was recorded in the format ASCII
He waits for a finalization. The one that I did wrong!!!  :-)
Thank You
```

Re: OT: SHARP PC1600... Help :-)

Message #2 Posted by [Klaus](#) on 16 Oct 2006, 4:04 p.m.,
in response to message #1 by [gilen0](#)

That should work. Did you check your settings in setdev/setcom and sndstat/sndbrk (for handshaking)?

Re: OT: SHARP PC1600... Help :-)

Message #3 Posted by [gilen0](#) on 16 Oct 2006, 5:09 p.m.,
in response to message #2 by [Klaus](#)

```
INIT "COM1:",4096
SETCOM "COM1:",9600,8,N,1,N,S
PCONSOLE "COM:1",255,2
SETDEV "COM1:",PO
```

I get to record the program using SAVE "COM1:" or SAVE"COM1:",A.

I don't only get to read of turn for the PC-1600 using LOAD"COM:1"

Help :-)

Re: OT: SHARP PC1600... Help :-(

*Message #4 Posted by [Steve Borowsky](#) on 17 Oct 2006, 12:10 a.m.,
in response to message #3 by gileno*

Are you sure the tape machine you're using is in working order, including the cables?

Re: OT: SHARP PC1600... Help :-(

*Message #5 Posted by [gileno](#) on 17 Oct 2006, 8:23 a.m.,
in response to message #4 by Steve Borowsky*

Serial not tape machine !!! :-)

Re: OT: SHARP PC1600... Help :-(

*Message #6 Posted by [Steve Borowsky](#) on 17 Oct 2006, 5:26 p.m.,
in response to message #5 by gileno*

Quote:

Serial not tape machine !!! :-)

ahh ok. Then check the cable, and check the computer.

Re: OT: SHARP PC1600... Help :-(

*Message #7 Posted by [Klaus](#) on 18 Oct 2006, 2:26 a.m.,
in response to message #3 by gileno*

Which program do you run on the PC? Are the settings the same? And do you have a built-in serial port, or do you use a USB-to-Serial cable? A very good resource is: http://www.sharp-pc-1600.de/ENG_Uebertragung.html

Re: OT: SHARP PC1600... Help :-(

Message #8 Posted by [gileo](#) on 18 Oct 2006, 10:38 a.m.,
in response to message #7 by Klaus

DB9 to DB9 (Serial to Serial)

Re: OT: SHARP PC1600... Help :-(

Message #9 Posted by [GE](#) on 19 Oct 2006, 4:58 a.m.,
in response to message #8 by gileo

As a side question (sorry to disturb), is your PC1600 eating batteries ? Mine uses them much more quickly than the 1500 (not alarming, yet).

Re: OT: SHARP PC1600... Help :-(

Message #10 Posted by [Valentin Albillo](#) on 19 Oct 2006, 6:34 a.m.,
in response to message #9 by GE

Hi, GE:

GE posted:

"[...] is your PC1600 eating batteries ? Mine uses them much more quickly than the 1500 (not alarming, yet)."

Yes, mine does too and it's pretty much to be expected when you consider that the SHARP PC-1500 has a single LH-5801 8-bit CMOS CPU running at 1.3 Mhz, while the much more powerful SHARP PC-1600 does have *two* CPUs, namely a Z80-compatible, 8-bit SC7852 CMOS CPU running at a much faster 3.58 Mhz, *plus* an additional LH5803 CMOS 8-bit CMOS CPU, for backward PC-1500 machine-language compatibility.

The vastly increased CPU speed plus the very extra CPU does mean increased power consumption, notwithstanding other hardware factors.

Best regards from V.

Edited: 19 Oct 2006, 6:36 a.m.

Re: OT: SHARP PC1600... Help :-(

Message #11 Posted by [gileo](#) on 19 Oct 2006, 9:49 a.m.,
in response to message #9 by GE