HP Forum Archive 16

[Return to Index | Top of Index]

7 Out Of 10

Message #1 Posted by *dbatiz* on 3 Feb 2007, 10:37 p.m.

While doing some statistics research I ran across this article:

http://faculty.vassar.edu/lowry/ch5pt1.html

It states that the likelihood of at least 7 out of 10 coin tosses coming up heads is 17%. This seamed too high to me, so I set upon verifying the figure.

After about 4 hours, I managed to develop an equation that would tell you for any number of coins (c), the likelihood of at least (h) tosses coming up heads. Of course, $0 \le h \le c$.

On my 50g, my equation worked right out of the editor. But just last week I was given an 11c (in exchange for tutoring in calculus) and I decided to see if I could still program. I was able to get my 11c to give the solution in 25 steps. I calculated the actual probability to be 0.171875.

I'd love to see other solutions. I'll post mine in a few days.

Very respectfully, David

Re: 7 Out Of 10

Message #2 Posted by Valentin Albillo on 3 Feb 2007, 11:22 p.m., in response to message #1 by dbatiz

Hi, David:

The following 20-step routine for the HP-11C I've concocted right now will do what you want:

LBL A STO Ø E3

ST0	Ι
CLX	
LBL	0
RCL	0
RCL	Ι
INT	
Cy,x	
+	
ISG	
GTO	0
2	
RCL	0
Y^X	
/	

+

To run it, just enter Heads and Coins in the stack, in that order, and GSB A. For example:

7 ENTER 10 GSB A -> 0.171875

Best regards from V.

Edited: 3 Feb 2007, 11:26 p.m.

Re: 7 Out Of 10

Message #3 Posted by Karl Schneider on 4 Feb 2007, 2:49 a.m., in response to message #2 by Valentin Albillo

Hi, Valentin --

Very elegant! The answer both of you gave is correct, of course. It assumes a fair coin, so the probabilities of heads and tails need not be incorporated, as the Binomial Theorem would do.

Your program using the example of 10 coins (or flips) and 7 heads took only two seconds flat to run on my accelerated HP-11C.

The program is simple enough, so I'll leave to others the satisfaction of analyzing it. For those who are interested, it will port to an HP-15C with just one modification: Since "ISG" can work with <u>any</u> register on an HP-15C, its version would have "ISG I" in place of "ISG", which works only with the I register on an HP-11C.

-- KS

Edited: 4 Feb 2007, 3:33 p.m.

Re: 7 Out Of 10

Message #4 Posted by **dbatiz** on 4 Feb 2007, 1:41 p.m., in response to message #2 by Valentin Albillo

Extremely well done Valentin,

It has been over 9 years since I lost my original 11C to a tragic laundry accident. I think it survived the washing, but the drying did it in.

I'd forgotten the syntax for using the 'T' register. My solution included manually incrementing a memory register.

Thank you for a great example of elegant code.

One of my coworkers came up with a creative, but very inefficient solution. He created an Excel spreadsheet with all 1024 possible combinations of coin flips, then counted all where there were 7 or more heads.

The ironic thing, he finished his project about 20 minutes before I got my equation to work,

Thanks again, David

Edited: 4 Feb 2007, 1:45 p.m.

Re: 7 Out Of 10

Message #5 Posted by **bill platt** on 4 Feb 2007, 3:30 p.m., in response to message #4 by dbatiz

Alas, 100,000 chimps in a room *can* write Shakespeare after all!

You're welcome ! :-)

Message #6 Posted by Valentin Albillo on 4 Feb 2007, 6:45 p.m., in response to message #4 by dbatiz

Thanks for your kind words of appreciation, David.

Sorry for your late HP-11C. The 11C's indeed a wonderful machine though if you can, get an HP-15C. It certainly is *the very best pure calculator* there is and has ever been, bar none.

Thanks also for your interesting 'quiz', it was a welcome relief over more mundane matters.

Best regards from V.

Re: 7 Out Of 10

Message #7 Posted by **PeterP** on 4 Feb 2007, 2:33 a.m., in response to message #1 by dbatiz

Here is one for the 41 (my one and only... can you tell me what the 11c is in comparison to say the 12c and the 15c?) which I believe does the trick. It is rather brute force and 'stupid' (both of which is a function of the programmer, not the 41C!) It is not using the fact that the last loop of 00 will always deliver 1 and the one to the last run will always deliver the number of flips.

Also, it calculates the necesseray 'n over k' number brute force and hence a) has a limit of 69 flips - 69! is the max for the 41c - and b) will run into numerical inaccuracies at around 16 flips - 16! has 13 digits. A smarter - and longer - 'n over k' routine in lb100 would be needed to push these constraints a bit further as well as use of the above mentioned facts.

To start, just do heads, enter, flips, run to get the number of heads wanted in y and the number of flips in x before starting the routine

Lbl '70010 Sto 0 E3/E+ LastX Fact Sto M RDN + DECX Sto N Clx Sto 00 Lb1 00 Rcl M Rcl O Rcl N Int LastX Fact X<>Y Fact *

5/6/2019

Sto+ 00 Isg N
GTO 00
Rcl 00
Rcl O
2
y^x
/
Stop

Re: 7 Out Of 10 on HP-41

Message #8 Posted by Karl Schneider on 4 Feb 2007, 3:11 a.m., in response to message #7 by PeterP

Hi, Peter --

Quote:

Here is one for the 41 (my one and only... can you tell me what the 11c is in comparison to say the 12c and the 15c?)

The MoHPC pages and "calculator comparison" feature would be helpful for identifying the differences between the three Voyager-series models. The topic of 11C vs. 15C has come up a few times in the Forum over the years.

Quote:

Also, it calculates the *necessary* 'n over k' number brute force and hence a) has a limit of 69 flips - 69! is the max for the 41c - and b) will run into numerical inaccuracies at around 16 flips - 16! has 13 digits . A smarter - and longer - 'n over k' routine in lbl 00 would be needed to push these constraints a bit further as well as use of the above mentioned facts.

Yes indeed, the HP-41 does not have built-in statistical combination ('n over k' number) or permutation. Nor are these functions available on the Stat Pac ROM. Robust routines -- which will provide the correct answer as long as it is within the displayable range, and which do not waste considerable time doing needless calculations -- do require some thought as to the approach.

The HP-15C in particular is a much better "pure calculator" (i.e., non-expandable, non-I/O, not intended for extensive programming) than the HP-41. Here's the proof!

HP-41 as calc vs. 15C

7 Out Of 10

Of course, I'm delighted with my HP-41C, HP-41CV, and HP-41CX as well as my HP-15C's and HP-11C's...

-- KS

Edited: 4 Feb 2007, 3:36 p.m.

Re: 7 Out Of 10

Message #9 Posted by **PeterP** on 4 Feb 2007, 4:09 p.m., in response to message #7 by PeterP

wow, just came back to the thread. Busy, busy ...

I'm not surprised that Valentin posted before me (though, to be fair, I do not know when he read the original puzzle and when I read it, but I don't think it would have mattered ;-)) Yet I'm happy that his and my solution, developped independently, are quite similar. As we say in Austria, even a blind chicken finds a grain once in a while...

BTW - Valentin, when is the next SSMC? I was out of comission for a year but have 'caught up' programming allmost all of the ones I missed in the meantime, skipping those were the 41c is hopelessly out of his league...

Thanks Karl for the tips on 15c vs 41c, it seems that the 15c is quite a powerful little bugger!

Cheers and thanks for the entertainment!

Peter

Re: 7 Out Of 10

Message #10 Posted by Valentin Albillo on 5 Feb 2007, 8:34 a.m., in response to message #9 by PeterP

Hi, PeterP:

PeterP posted:

"BTW - Valentin, when is the next SSMC?"

Thanks for your interest. I know it's been quite some time since I posted the latest S&SMC, but I couldn't help it. I've been suffering an extreme lack of free time, what with working full-time in an important project on the one hand, while having to cope with some unfortunate

events at home on the other.

The result of it all has been that I've been forced to maximize my terminally scarce free time to bring maximum output to the most urgent tasks, and the most urgent HP-related task was to create and send new articles to Datafile for its publication in the very next issue due in February.

They were 3 articles, all of them featured, one of them belonging to a classic series of mine, the "Long Live ..." one, and the other two being the first of two new regular series I've just created. All in all, 12 pages, including 3 programs, some 4 or 5 smaller 'routines', plus formatting, graphics, ... this took all my time, believe me.

Nevertheless, I've just completed *four* more articles belonging to the new series, and intended for the April and June issues, so I can reserve some free time to concoct a worthwhile S&SMC or some interesting Mini-Challenge for the HP-15C, say, I've got some very good ideas to try.

Stay tuned !:-)

Best regards from V.

Re: 7 Out Of 10

Message #11 Posted by **PeterP** on 5 Feb 2007, 11:41 a.m., in response to message #10 by Valentin Albillo

Wow Valentin, you have been busy, very impressive. And very sorry indeed to hear about troubles at home. Those are the hardest one to deal with. All the best for you from here!

Peter

Thank you very much, PeterP

Message #12 Posted by Valentin Albillo on 5 Feb 2007, 12:07 p.m., in response to message #11 by PeterP

Much appreciated.

Best regards from V.

Re: 7 Out Of 10

Message #13 Posted by Gene on 4 Feb 2007, 8:49 a.m.,

in response to message #1 by dbatiz

Isn't this the binomial distribution? P(n,k)?

If I recall correctly, the probability would be:

 $P(n,k) = Comb(n,k) * p^k * (1-p)^(n-k)$

where p in this case is 0.5

Re: 7 Out Of 10

Message #14 Posted by **Thomas Radtke** on 4 Feb 2007, 9:33 a.m., in response to message #13 by Gene

I thought so, too. But that results to 0.1171875. What am I missing?

(calculated w/ Commodore SR4190R and HP-30S)

Re: 7 Out Of 10

Message #15 Posted by Valentin Albillo on 4 Feb 2007, 10:50 a.m., in response to message #14 by Thomas Radtke

Hi, Thomas:

Thomas posted:

"I thought so, too. But that results to 0.1171875. What am I missing?"

The key word is "at least N heads". The individual probabilities of having 7, 8, 9, or 10 heads out of 10 coins are as follows:

1070.11718751080.04394531251090.00976562510100.0009765625

and you need to add them up, to come with **0.171875** which is thus the correct answer. Have a look at my HP-11C program above.

Best regards from V.