HP Forum Archive 21

[Return to Index | Top of Index]

A weekend quickie

Message #1 Posted by Valentin Albillo on 25 Jan 2013, 5:47 a.m.

Hi, all:

A nice quickie for the weekend (though I don't think it will take most of you more than a few minutes to solve):

Write a program, procedure, or keystroke sequence for your favorite HP calculator to find the length "d" in the following diagram:



There are a gazillion ways to attack it: using trigonometrics or not, computing intersections or not, etc. I quickly solved it in my **HP-71B** using an intuitive (but far-from-optimum) approach that involves solving a couple of very simple systems of non-linear equations, let's see how you do it.

Oh, and the correct value for **d** is somewhat surprising ! ... 8-)

Best regards from V.

Re: A weekend quickie

Message #2 Posted by **Paul Dale** on 25 Jan 2013, 6:22 a.m., in response to message #1 by Valentin Albillo

Using the 34S and its standard library triangle solver kind of makes this one easy, although the keystroke count is high:

XEQ'TRI'	
R/S	
23 R/S	
16 R/S	
30 R/S	
R/S	
R/S	
R/S	
R/S	
R/S	
R/S Cot the angle we're after finally	
16	
* Perpendicular height from base to the lower end of d (12 1160)
STO 19	• •)
XFO'TRT'	
R/S	
27 R/S	
22 R/S	
30 R/S	
R/S	
R/S	
R/S	
R/S	
R/S	
R/S	
R/S	
SIN	
22	
* Perpendicular height from base to the upper end of d (19.1004)	
RCL- 19 And the answer	
don't however recognise the surprise :-(
Pauli	

Re: A weekend quickie

Message #3 Posted by Valentin Albillo on 25 Jan 2013, 6:33 a.m., in response to message #2 by Paul Dale

Quote:

. . .

Using the 34S and its standard library triangle solver kind of makes this one easy, although the keystroke count is high:

* Perpendicular height from base to the lower end of d (12.1160...)

* Perpendicular height from base to the upper end of d (19.1004)RCL- 19 And the answer.....

I don't however recognise the surprise :-(

Thanks for your interest, Pauli. If you don't see the "surprise" it might be the case that your value for "d" isn't the correct one.

Perhaps some *slightly* wrong assumption on your part ? ... 8-)

Best regards from V.

Re: A weekend quickie

Message #4 Posted by **Paul Dale** on 25 Jan 2013, 6:37 a.m., in response to message #3 by Valentin Albillo

Ahhh, I see the mistake now :-(

- Pauli

Re: A weekend quickie

Message #5 Posted by **Paul Dale** on 25 Jan 2013, 6:44 a.m., in response to message #4 by Paul Dale

And I managed to guess & then verify the correct answer :-)

- Pauli

Re: A weekend quickie

Message #6 Posted by Valentin Albillo on 25 Jan 2013, 7:42 a.m., in response to message #5 by Paul Dale

Quote:

And I managed to guess & then verify the correct answer :-)



Re: A weekend quickie

Message #7 Posted by **Dieter** on 25 Jan 2013, 3:23 p.m., in response to message #2 by Paul Dale

It can be done with three "TRI" calls ... and virtually no mathematical knowledge at all. ;-)

XEQ"TRI" R/S 22 R/S 27 R/S 30 R/S R/S R/S R/S R/S R/S R/S R/S STO 20 XEQ"TRI" R/S 16 R/S 23 R/S

30
R/S
STO- 20
XEQ. IKT.
R/S
R/S
2/
R/S
23
RCL 20
Et voilà
Dieter

Edited: 25 Jan 2013, 3:27 p.m.

Re: A weekend quickie

Message #8 Posted by fhub on 25 Jan 2013, 3:37 p.m., in response to message #7 by Dieter

Quote:

It can be done with three "TRI" calls ... and virtually no mathematical knowledge at all. ;-)

Well, that's exactly the method I showed with my Derive output.

And the 'mathematical knowledge' needed is already packed into my program "TRI". ;-)

Franz

Edited: 25 Jan 2013, 3:37 p.m.

Re: A weekend quickie

Message #9 Posted by **Jean-Michel** on 25 Jan 2013, 6:54 a.m., in response to message #1 by Valentin Albillo

Hello,

perhaps should you mention that the figure is not contractual in its current state, somewhat confusing. Kind regards.

Re: A weekend quickie

Message #10 Posted by Gerson W. Barbosa on 25 Jan 2013, 9:04 a.m., in response to message #1 by Valentin Albillo

Hello Valentin,

I've resorted to Heron's formula: (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Heron's formula)

 $p_1 = (27 + 23 + d)/2$

 $p_2 = (22 + 16 + d)/2$

 $p_3 = (16 + 23 + 30)/2 = 69/2$

```
p_4 = (30 + 22 + 27)/2 = 79/2
```

The respective areas are

 $A_1 = 1/4*sqrt(2516*d^2 - d^4 - 40000)$

 $A_2 = 1/4*sqrt(1480*d^2 - d^4 - 51984)$

```
A_3 = 64/4*sqrt(111)
```

 $A_4 = 5/4*sqrt(52535)$

Then, using the equality

 $A_4 = A_1 + A_2 + A_3$

on the hp 50g in exact mode we get this equation

%%HP: T(3)A(R)F(,); '1/4*\v/-(d^4-1480*d^2+51984)+1/4*\v/-(d^4-2516*d^2+40000)+69/4*\v/111=5/4*\v/52535'

which can easily be solved for d, giving a surprising result indeed!

Thanks and

Best regards,

Gerson.

P.S.: Edited so that the surprise is not ruined. This is not the kind of solution you are looking for, however.

Edited: 25 Jan 2013, 9:49 a.m.

Re: A weekend quickie

Message #11 Posted by **C.Ret** on 25 Jan 2013, 9:13 a.m., in response to message #1 by Valentin Albillo

Hi,

Here my humble contribution to this W.-E. Quickie. It is for my prefered HP advanced calculator.

```
« 30 -> ab
                                          // ab is base length (distance frrom point A to point B)
   «
      « SO -> a b
                                          // define subroutine XYPos which compute (x,y) coordinate (complex)
                                          // positive intersection point at distances a from A and b from B
         \times ab SQ a b - + 2 / ab / ABS
                                         // -compute x
                                          // -compute y
           a OVER SO - ABS SORT
           R->C
                                          // Convert to (x,y)
         »
      » -> XYPos
        27 SQ 22 XYPos EVAL
                                         // Compute complexe coordinates of first intersection point
                                         // Compute complexe coordinates of second intersection point
        23 SQ 16 XYPos EVAL
        - ABS
                                         // Compute length d between first and second intersection
      >>
   »
»
I am just curious of versions for others calculators, especially RPN ones as well as the HP-39gII !
```

```
Have a nice Week-End.
```

```
Spoiler: As the end of the week-end approves:

I_1 = (19.0833, 19.1004)

I_2 = (19.5500, 12.1160)

d = 7
```

Edited: 27 Jan 2013, 9:28 a.m. after one or more responses were posted

Re: A weekend quickie

Message #12 Posted by George Litauszky on 27 Jan 2013, 6:12 a.m., in response to message #11 by C.Ret

Here is a geometry solution. It's true: The calculator isn't a HP. It's a TI-92Plus with the Geometer's Sketchpad flash application. The graph's scale is 3:1, so every pixel value must be divided by 3.

AB= 90/3= 30 AC= 81/3= 27 BC= 66/3= 22 AD= 69/3= 23 BD= 48/3= 16 CD= 21/3= 7 pixels.



I tried to download the Bernard Parisse's 50g Geometry from here but I got an error message. Any ideas?

Re: A weekend quickie

Message #13 Posted by Walter B on 27 Jan 2013, 6:18 a.m., in response to message #12 by George Litauszky

Interesting solution. How large is the quantisation error in this context?

Re: A weekend quickie

Message #14 Posted by George Litauszky on 27 Jan 2013, 6:45 a.m., in response to message #13 by Walter B

It seems in this case nothing. I can move the cursor pixel by pixel horizontally and vertically and I get integer coordinate values. In diagonal direction these values are decimal fractions but I can't write a needed exact value directly from the keyboard. :(

Re: A weekend quickie

```
Message #15 Posted by George Litauszky on 28 Jan 2013, 4:27 p.m., in response to message #12 by George Litauszky
```

This is an other solution for HP 15C. (DM-15CC) The 15C is new for me, this is my first keystroke programming calculator. So the program maybe not too optimized. The method:

First I calculated an angle with the solver from 2 equations.

If the larger triangle's points are: A= left, B=rigt, C=top. Angles are: CAB= a, ABC=b. 1. AC*sin(a)=BC*sin(b) 2. AC*cos(a)=AB-BC*cos(b)

From (1): a = sin-1((BC*sin(b))/AC)

And from this the solver's equation, if AC is in R1, BC is in R2 and AB is in R3 is: R1*cos(sin-1((R2*sin(X))/R1)+R2*cos(X)-R3=0

With this method was calculated the smaller (A,B,D) triangle's left angle (ABD) too. And because with the Sigma+(-) I got incorrect result, the CD distance was calculated in complex mode. The program not too fast. The run time is 23 sec in 12 Mhz and 6 sec in 48 Mhz. It takes a lot of minutes on an original 15C, I think.

Use:

First fill the registers: 30->R3, 27->R4, 22->R5, 23->R6, 16->R7 then call the program: GSB 5.

Main program

001 LBL 5			
4			
STO I			
GSB 6			
STO 8			
6			
STO T			
GSB 6			
1			
->R			
KCL /			
RCL 9			
I			
->R			
-			
->P			
CF 8			
022 RTN			
Solver call			
023 IBI 6			
R(I(i))			
STO 1			
1			
אוא בכט			
Solvon nouting			
Solver routine			
032 LBL /			
SIN			
RCL*2			
RCL/1			
SIN^-1			
COS			
RCL*1			
x<>y			
cos			
KCL^2			

	+
	RCL-3
944	RTN

Edited: 28 Jan 2013, 4:33 p.m.

Re: A weekend quickie

Message #16 Posted by **JMBaillard** on 25 Jan 2013, 10:32 a.m., in response to message #1 by Valentin Albillo

Hi, here is an HP-41 version:

Assuming R01=27 R02 = 30 R03 = 22 R04 = 23 R05 = 16

LBL "?" RCL 05

RCL 02 RCL 04

XEQ 01

STO 00

RCL 02

RCL 03

RCL 01 XEQ 01

RCL 00

COS RCL 03 RCL 05 * * ST+ X

RCL 03 X^2

RCL 05

X^2 +

X<>Y

Т

SQRT		
RTN		
LBL 01		
X^2		
CHS		
X<>Y		
STO T		
X^2		
+		
X⇔Y		
ST* Z		
X^2		
+		
X<>Y		
ST+ X		
/		
ACOS		
END 57 bytes		
XEQ "?" gives the answer !		
Best wishes,		
n (n		

Re: A weekend quickie

Message #17 Posted by Walter B on 25 Jan 2013, 10:46 a.m., in response to message #1 by Valentin Albillo

Using good old Euklidian and Pythagorean mathematics, I get:

The height of the small (bottom) triangle is $h_s = 23 \times 16 / 30$.

And the corresponding left part of the hypotenuse is $c_s = 23 \times 23 / 30$.

The height of the big (outer) triangle is h_b = 27 x 22 / 30.

And the corresponding left part of the hypotenuse is $c_b = 27 \times 27 / 30$.

Thus I have two points $(c_s; h_s)$ and $(c_b; h_b)$, and d is the distance of those.

Using my WP 34S (what else?) I calculate:

CL[Sigma] 23 ENTER 16 x 23 ENTER x [Sigma]+ 27 ENTER 22 x 27 ENTER x [Sigma]-SUM ->POL 30 / ... and get d but don't get a surprise :-?

Re: A weekend quickie

Message #18 Posted by **fhub** on 25 Jan 2013, 11:31 a.m., in response to message #17 by Walter B

Quote:

Using good old Euklidian and Pythagorean mathematics, I get:

The height of the small (bottom) triangle is $h_s = 23 \times 16 / 30$. And the corresponding left part of the hypotenuse is $c_s = 23 \times 23 / 30$.

The height of the big (outer) triangle is $h_b=27 \ge 27 \ge 23$. And the corresponding left part of the hypotenuse is $c_b=27 \ge 27 \ge 27/30$.

That would only be true for right-angled triangles.

That's why you don't get a surprise ... ;-)

Franz

Re: A weekend quickie

Message #19 Posted by Walter B on 25 Jan 2013, 11:57 a.m., in response to message #18 by fhub

Oooh - rats! That's the consequence of my blind belief in Euklid :-(

Re: A weekend quickie

Message #20 Posted by **Gerson W. Barbosa** on 25 Jan 2013, 12:22 p.m., in response to message #19 by Walter B

I used to believe in Euclides myself. He was my math teacher in high-school for two years :-)

Re: A weekend quickie

Message #21 Posted by Walter B on 25 Jan 2013, 12:47 p.m., in response to message #20 by Gerson W. Barbosa

:-)

Re: A weekend quickie

Message #22 Posted by *Walter B* on 25 Jan 2013, 12:46 p.m., in response to message #19 by Walter B

... I should have used TRI for the triangular calculations :- I F... ambition :- (

OK, with the angle alpha and the side c of the bottom triangle I get x and y for point s via ->REC returning (19.550; 12.116). Put it into statistic registers via [Sigma]+.

Same for the outer triangle, returning (19.083; 19.100) for point b. Calculating the distance via [Sigma]-, SUM, and ->POL returns 7.

Re: A weekend quickie

Message #23 Posted by Maximilian Hohmann on 25 Jan 2013, 1:03 p.m., in response to message #22 by Walter B

Quote:

->POL returns 7.

I cheated and used this website to get the same result: http://www.mathepower.com/dreieck.php Maybe the website is hosted on an HP-computer ;-)

Re: A weekend quickie

Message #24 Posted by **fhub** on 25 Jan 2013, 11:04 a.m., in response to message #1 by Valentin Albillo Franz

Re: A weekend quickie

Message #25 Posted by Gerson W. Barbosa on 25 Jan 2013, 1:22 p.m., in response to message #24 by fhub

Hi Franz,

Result with Derive

The old saying "there is more than a way to skin a cat" still holds :-)

When the images above are eventually gone, just submit

solve [1/4*sqrt(-d^4+1480*d^2-51984)+1/4*sqrt(-d^4+2516*d^2-40000))+69/4*sqrt(111)-5/4*sqrt(52535)==0] for d

to Wolfram Alpha.

Gerson.

Re: A weekend quickie

Message #26 Posted by *fhub* on 25 Jan 2013, 1:47 p.m., in response to message #25 by Gerson W. Barbosa

Quote:

The old saying "there is more than a way to skin a cat" still holds :-)

That's right, Gerson. ;-)

But I guess that more people would know the cosine-rule than Heron's area formula, and it's certainly easier to give a solution with a simple formula instead of having to solve a quartic equation.

Of course your equation gives the same solution, but the exact Wolfram-Alpha result is much more complicated than the one I get with Derive - I know why Derive is still my favourite CAS (at least for daily use). :-)

5/11/2019

Franz

Re: A weekend quickie

Message #27 Posted by Gerson W. Barbosa on 25 Jan 2013, 2:47 p.m., in response to message #26 by fhub

You're right! I didn't mean to say "mineisbetter" :-)

One of these days I was reading about Heron's formula (and Brahmaguta's) in a book written by a local electrical engineer, so it was the first thing I thought of when I saw the problem. The quadratic equation would be really somewhat difficult to solve by hand, but that's an easy job for the HP solver. These many ways of solving the problem, including yours, is what makes this topic very interesting!

Best regards,

Gerson.

Re: A weekend quickie + Similar Problems

Message #28 Posted by **JMBaillard** on 25 Jan 2013, 3:38 p.m., in response to message #27 by Gerson W. Barbosa

Here are 2 similar problems:

```
1°) ABC is an equilateral triangle: AB = BC = CA = x
M is a point such that
AM = 57 , BM = 65 , CM = 73
```

-> Calculate x

```
2°) ABCD is a regular tetraedron: AB = BC = CD = AC = AD = BD = x M is a point such that AM = 56 , BM = 59 , CM = 69 , DM = 79
```

-> Calculate x

Enjoy, JM.

P.S: I think the problem may generalized to a regular simplex...

Re: A weekend quickie + Similar Problems

Message #29 Posted by *fhub* on 26 Jan 2013, 5:42 a.m., in response to message #28 by JMBaillard

Quote:

```
1°) ABC is an equilateral triangle: AB = BC = CA = x
M is a point such that
AM = 57 , BM = 65 , CM = 73
-> Calculate x
```

Franz

Re: A weekend quickie + Similar Problems

Message #30 Posted by Gerson W. Barbosa on 26 Jan 2013, 9:33 a.m., in response to message #28 by JMBaillard

Quote:

1°) ABC is an equilateral triangle: AB = BC = CA = x M is a point such that AM = 57 , BM = 65 , CM = 73

-> Calculate x

Let the Cartesian coordinates of the points A, B, C and M be

A(0,0), B(0,x), C(x/2,x*sqrt(3)/2), M(a,b)

Then

 $AM = sqrt(a^2 + b^2) = 57 \implies a^2 + b^2 = 3249$ (1)

 $BM = sqrt((a-x)^{2} + b^{2}) = 65 \implies (a-x)^{2} + b^{2} = 4225 \quad (2)$

```
CM = sqrt((a - x/2)^{2} + (b - x^{s}qrt(3)/2)^{2}) = 73 \implies (a - x/2)^{2} + (b - x^{s}qrt(3)/2)^{2} = 5329 \quad (3)
Expanding (2)
a^2 - 2*a*x + x^2 + b^2 = 4225
and replacing a^2 + b^2 with 3249, from (1), gives
x^2 - 2*a*x - 976 = 0
x = a + sqrt(a^{2} + 976)
                              (4)
From (1)
b = sqrt(3249 - a^2)
                              (5)
Plugging (4) and (5) into (3) gives
(a - (a+sqrt((a^2 + 976)))/2)^2 + (sqrt(3249 - a^2) - (a + sqrt((a^2 + 976)))*sqrt(3)/2)^2 - 5329 = 0
When solving
%%HP: T(3)A(R)F(,);
'(a-(a+\v/(a^2+976))/2)^2+(\v/-(a^2-3249)-(a+\v/(a^2+976))*\v/3/2)^2-5329=0'
on the HP 50g we get
a = 51.6428571428
The fractional part suggests this is the rational number 723/14
Replacing it in (4) finally gives
x = 723/14 + sqrt((723/14)^2 + 976)
x = 723/14 + 845/14 = 1568/14
x = 112
This is actually a numerical solution in disguise, however.
Gerson.
```

Re: A weekend quickie + Similar Problems Message #31 Posted by **JMBaillard** on 27 Jan 2013, 4:28 p.m., in response to message #30 by Gerson W. Barbosa Hi, very good solutions ! The 2nd problem (regular tetrahedron) may be solved in the same ways: 1°) Using the coordinates of the 5 points leads to a 4x4 non-linear system. 2°) We can also write that the sum of the volumes of the 4 tetrahedrons MABC + MBCD + MACD + MABD = the volume of ABCD (with Francesca's formula) 3°) A 3rd approach is: Sum of the 4 trihedral angles MABC + MBCD + MACD + MABD = 720° -But there is a "magic" formula that solves both problems and probably more: If ABC is an equilateral triangle and AM = a , BM = b , CM = c $3(a^{4}+b^{4}+c^{4}+x^{4}) = (a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}+x^{2})^{2}$ -This can be proved using the coordinates of the 4 points, like Gerson's solution. If ABCD is a regular tetrahedron and AM = a, BM = b, CM = c, DM = d $4(a^{4}+b^{4}+c^{4}+d^{4}+x^{4}) = (a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}+d^{2}+x^{2})^{2}$ -I must say I have not proved this formula but it would be surprising if it were wrong ! -Whence the conjecture: If A1 A2 ... An is a regular simplex (edge length = x) and MA1 = a1, MA2 = a2,, MAn = ann $[(a1)^4 + \dots + (an)^4 + x^4] = [(a1)^2 + \dots + (an)^2 + x^2]^2$ -It works at least with n = 3 and n = 4-So we just have to solve a quadratic equation to find x^2 ! -Here is an HP41-program: 01 LBL "WST"

02 RCL 00 03 0 04 ENTERO
05 LBL 01 06 RCL IND Z
07 X^2 08 ST+ Z
09 X ² 10 + 11 DSF 7
12 GTO 01 13 R^
14 RCL 00 15 -
16 * 17 X<>Y
10 X 2 19 + 20 RCL 00
21 * 22 SQRT
23 ST+ Z 24 -
25 KCL 00 26 1 27 -
28 ST/ Z 29 /
30 SQRT 31 X<>Y
32 SQR1 33 END (46 bytes)
-Store n in R00 , a1 in R01 , , an in Rnn and XEQ "WST"
1°) In the 1st problem it yields $x = 112 X <> Y x = 16.09347694$
-The first value corresponds to a point inside the equilateral triangle -The second value corresponds to a point outside the triangle.
2°) In the 2nd problem it yields $x = 105 X <>Y x = 26.85144316$
-The first value corresponds to a point inside the regular tetrahedron -The second value corresponds to a point outside the tetrahedron.
-Here, 112 and 105 are (exact) integers

Perhaps will you find a simple proof for the general case ? Best regards, Jean-Marc.

Re: A weekend quickie + Similar Problems Message #32 Posted by **Gerson W. Barbosa** on 27 Jan 2013, 6:41 p.m., in response to message #31 by JMBaillard

Quote:

n [$(a1)^4 + \dots + (an)^4 + x^4$] = [$(a1)^2 + \dots + (an)^2 + x^2$]²

-It works at least with n = 3 and n = 4

It works also when n = 2:

 $2^{*}(a^{4} + (x - a)^{4} + x^{4}) = (a^{2} + (x - a)^{2} + x^{2})^{2} = 4^{*}a^{4} - 8^{*}a^{3}x + 12^{*}a^{2}x^{2} - 8^{*}a^{*}x^{3} + 4^{*}x^{4}$

When n = 8 and the point M is located in the center we should expect $x = a_i$. I have no idea what a 7-simplex looks like, however.

Best regards,

Gerson.

P.S.: The latter is is not correct. made a mistake when testing the original equation on the HP-50g (I used n = 8 when it should have been 7). The ration between x and a_i is 1.5275 in this case (it appears to tend to sqrt(2) when n increases).

Here are my RPN programs for three calculators:

HP-41:

01 LBL 'SX 02 'ENTER aI 03 PROMPT 04 CLSIGMA 05 LBL 00 06 X^2 07 SIGMA+

08 STOP		
09 X>0?		
10 GTO 00		
11 1		
12 +		
13 RCL 12		
14 *		
15 RCI 11		
16 X^2		
10 KCL 10		
20 SQRT		
21 STO Y		
22 RCL 11		
23 X<>Y		
24 ST- Y		
25 RCL 11		
26 +		
27 RCL 16		
28 1		
29 -		
30 /		
31 X<>Y		
32 LASTX		
33 /		
34 SORT		
35 X<>Y		
36 SORT		
37 END		
Evample		
Lixample.		
Let's find x when $a(1)$:	= 56, a(2) = 59, a(3)	(3) = 69, $a(4) = 79$, as in your second problem.
Keystrokes	Display	
XEQ ALPHA SX APHA	ENTER aI	
56 R/S	1.000000000	
59 R/S	2.000000000	
69 R/S	3.000000000	
79 R/S	4.000000000	
снѕ	-4.000000000	; CHS is used to indicate there are no more a_i to be entered
R/S	105 0000000	: 1st solution
	28 851//216	· 2nd solution
	20.03144310	, 2114 SULULION
1) It is assumed the sta	tistical registers are	at their default locations (Otherwise SIGMADEC 11 is necessary):
	usucal registers are	at their usually invarious (Otherwise STOIVIANEO IT IS necessary),

00 (65 Ruto Rham)							
01 LDL "CY"							
$O_2 = C_2 + C_2 $							
02 Enter a(1)							
03 PROMPT							
04 CLSIGMA							
00 X - 02							
10 GTO 00							
11 1							
12 +							
13 RCI 11							
14 RCL+ ST X							
15 LASTX							
16 X^2							
17 RCL 12							
18 RCL* 16							
19 -							
20 X<> ST Z							
21 +/-							
22 STO/ ST Z							
23 /							
24 2							
25 /							
26 STO ST Z							
27 X^2							
28 +							
29 SQRT							
30 RCL+ ST Y							
32 KUL- 31 L 33 KOPT							
35 SOBT							
36 END							
WP34S:							
001 LDL D							
DE D							

005	CLX6
006	PROMPT
007	CLSIGMA
008	x^2
009	SIGMA+
010	ST0
011	x>=0?
012	BACK 004
013	INC X
014	SIGMAx
015	x^2
016	SIGMAx^2
017	nSIGMA
018	*
019	-
020	SIGMAx
021	STO+ X
022	x<> Y
023	SLVQ
024	SQRT
025	x<> Y
026	x<> Y
027	Υ
028	END

-.

Edited: 28 Jan 2013, 9:59 a.m. after one or more responses were posted

Re: A weekend quickie + Similar Problems

Message #33 Posted by JMBaillard on 28 Jan 2013, 8:52 a.m., in response to message #32 by Gerson W. Barbosa Hi Gerson, you're right: the formula also works if n = 2But I don't understand why do you expect x = aiif n = 8 and M is located at the center: With, say all ai = 1, "WST" gives x = 4/sqrt(7) = 1.511857892The volume of this 7-simplex is 0.0008955426448 (in fact $(4/sqrt(7))^{7}/4/7!$) which is exactly 8 times the volume of each "face" like MA1...A7 So, I am more and more convinced that the formula is true for all n It could probably be proved by a clever handling of Cayley-Menger determinants. Best wishes, Jean-Marc.

Re: A weekend quickie + Similar Problems

Message #34 Posted by Gerson W. Barbosa on 28 Jan 2013, 10:04 a.m., in response to message #33 by JMBaillard

Hello Jean-Marc,

I've made a mistake (Please see my edited post above).

I believe your conjecture is true, but proving it is certainly beyond my limited stills. But I have added my own version of the program (which can be of course improved :-)

Best regards,

Gerson.

Edited: 28 Jan 2013, 10:05 a.m.

Re: A weekend quickie + Similar Problems

Message #35 Posted by **JMBaillard** on 28 Jan 2013, 3:58 p.m., in response to message #34 by Gerson W. Barbosa

Hi Gerson I've read your post: good programs ! (I don't have an HP-42S or a WP34S, only an HP-41 and an HP-48) Don't worry, at least until now, I also fail to prove the conjecture... Best regards, Jean-Marc.

Re: A weekend quickie + Similar Problems

Message #36 Posted by **Paul Dale** on 28 Jan 2013, 4:28 p.m., in response to message #32 by Gerson W. Barbosa

Quote:

004 alpha'ER'; I have yet to discover how to enter the space character in the wp 34S

h-shift 0 (zero) in alpha mode.

- Pauli

Re: A weekend quickie + Similar Problems

Message #37 Posted by Walter B on 28 Jan 2013, 4:39 p.m., in response to message #36 by Paul Dale

Please see pp. 61 and 123 in the manual.

d:-)

Re: A weekend quickie + Similar Problems

Message #38 Posted by Gerson W. Barbosa on 28 Jan 2013, 5:36 p.m., in response to message #37 by Walter B

Please count me in for the printed manual, if still available :-)

Re: A weekend quickie + Similar Problems

Message #39 Posted by Walter B on 28 Jan 2013, 5:41 p.m., in response to message #38 by Gerson W. Barbosa

Don't be afraid, sales didn't start yet.

d:-)

Re: A weekend quickie + Similar Problems

Message #40 Posted by Gerson W. Barbosa on 28 Jan 2013, 5:54 p.m., in response to message #39 by Walter B

Thanks, Pauli & Walter :-)

Re: A weekend quickie + Similar Problems

Message #41 Posted by **Paul Dale** on 28 Jan 2013, 5:58 p.m., in response to message #40 by Gerson W. Barbosa

I almost wrote that the space is clearly printed on the overlay :-)

- Pauli

Messag	e #42 Posted by Gerson W. Barbosa on 28 Jan 2013, 5:49 p.m.,	
in respor	se to message #32 by Gerson W. Barbosa	
A shorte	er HP-42S version:	
00 { 61	-Byte Prgm }	
01 LBL	"SX"	
02 "Ent	er a(i)"	
03 PROM	PT	
04 CLSI	GMA	
05 LBL	00	
06 X^2		
07 SIGM	A+	
08 STOP		
09 X>=0	?	
10 GTO	00	
11 RCL*	12	
12 RCL+	12	
13 RCL	11	
14 X^2		
15 +		
16 RCL*	16	
17 SQRT		
18 RCL	11	
19 RCL-	ST Y	
20 LAST	X	
21 RCL+	ST Z	
22 RCL	16	
23 1		
24 -		
25 /		
26 X<>Y		
27 RCL/	ST L	
28 SQRT		
29 X<>Y		
30 SQRT		
31 END		

Re: A weekend quickie

Message #43 Posted by Gerson W. Barbosa on 30 Jan 2013, 11:10 p.m., in response to message #26 by fhub

Quote:

Of course your equation gives the same solution, but the exact Wolfram-Alpha result is much more complicated than the one I get with Derive

You're quite right, Franz! I'd actually forgotten about this one, but being bored and nothing better to do I decided to do it again using only elementary school math and my hp 50g in exact mode:

```
h_1^2 = 23^2 - (30 - a)^2
h_1^2 = 16^2 - a^2
23^2 - 30^2 + 60^*a - a^2 = 16^2 - a^2
a = (16^2 + 30^2 - 23^2)/60
a = 209/20
\Rightarrow h<sub>1</sub> = sqrt(16<sup>2</sup> - (209/20)<sup>2</sup>)
   h_1 = sqrt(58719)/20
Likewise,
h_2^2 = 27^2 - (30 - b)^2
h_2^2 = 22^2 - b^2
27^2 - 30^2 + 60*b - b^2 = 22^2 - b^2
b = (22^2 + 30^2 - 27^2)/60
b = 131/12
\Rightarrow h<sub>2</sub> = sqrt(22<sup>2</sup> - (131/12)<sup>2</sup>)
   h_2 = sqrt(52535)/12
Now, d can be calculated as:
d = sqrt((h_2 - h_1)^2 + (b - a)^2)
d = sqrt(((sqrt(52535)/12 - sqrt(58719)/20))^2 + (131/12 - 209/20)^2)
d = sqrt(((5*sqrt(52535) - 69*sqrt(111))/60)^2 + (7/15)^2)
d = sqrt((920923 - 345*sqrt(111)*sqrt(52535))/1800 + 49/225)
d = sqrt((61421 - 23*sqrt(5831385))/120)
d = 7.0000008574
Regards,
Gerson.
```

Re: A weekend quickie

Message #44 Posted by Valentin Albillo on 31 Jan 2013, 6:19 a.m., in response to message #43 by Gerson W. Barbosa

Quote:

Now, **d** can be calculated as:

d = sqrt((h₂ - h₁)² + (b - a)²) d = sqrt(((sqrt(52535)/12 - sqrt(58719)/20))² + (131/12 - 209/20)²) d = sqrt(((5*sqrt(52535) - 69*sqrt(111))/60)² + (7/15)²) d = sqrt((920923 - 345*sqrt(111)*sqrt(52535))/1800 + 49/225)

```
d = sqrt((61421 - 23*sqrt(5831385))/120)
```

d = 7.0000008574

Nice, Gerson.

Actually, the minimal polynomial for d is:

```
P(x) = 900*x^8 - 3600*x^7 - 924915*x^6 + 3682560*x^5 + 46667896*x^4 - 169170199*x^3 - 171012829*x^2 - 128950608*x - 42983536
```

The root of this polynomial near 7 is:

```
x = 7.00000085736748328572881969310250391260161759...
```

Just for the record, this polynomial has 6 real roots and two complex conjugate ones, which can be readily found to full 12-digit accuracy with this HP-71B code snippet:

>LIST

```
10 DESTROY ALL @ OPTION BASE 0 @ DIM C(8) @ COMPLEX R(7)
20 DATA 900,-3600,-924915,3682560,46667896,-169170199,-171012829,-128950608,-42983536
30 READ C @ MAT R=PROOT(C) @ MAT DISP R;
```

>RUN

```
(-.47410255668,0)
(-.233336163303,-.610295507019)
(-.233336163303,.610295507019)
(4.94077488329,0)
```

(-7.0000008574,0) (7.0000008574,0) (31.2199188361,-0) (-31.2199188361,0)

Best regards from V.

Re: A weekend quickie

Message #45 Posted by **Dave Shaffer (Arizona)** on 31 Jan 2013, 11:30 a.m., in response to message #44 by Valentin Albillo

Quote:

Oh, and the correct value for d is somewhat surprising ! ... 8-)

Other than being so close to 7, is there something else surprising?

Re: A weekend quickie

Message #46 Posted by Valentin Albillo on 1 Feb 2013, 3:29 a.m., in response to message #45 by Dave Shaffer (Arizona)

Quote:

Other than being so close to 7, is there something else surprising?

Not really.

What would it take to surprise you, Dave ?

Have a nice weekend.

V.

Re: A weekend quickie

Message #47 Posted by **Dave Shaffer (Arizona)** on 1 Feb 2013, 1:28 p.m., in response to message #46 by Valentin Albillo

Quote:

What would it take to surprise you, Dave ?

I thought maybe we were after something like e^pi or pi^e or some other exotic combination of various constants!

Re: A weekend quickie Message #48 Posted by Gerson W. Barbosa on 1 Feb 2013, 6:17 p.m., in response to message #47 by Dave Shaffer (Arizona)

The answer to the problem in the OP belongs in the realm of the near-integer (or almost integer). I've found this one involving pi only:

(pi^34 - (pi/3)*10^12)/10^16

And another involving e:

2*(e - atan(e))

Surprising, interesting, futile? You decide :-)

Gerson.

Re: A weekend quickie

Message #49 Posted by Gerson W. Barbosa on 31 Jan 2013, 10:34 p.m., in response to message #44 by Valentin Albillo

Hello Valentin,

Quote:

Actually, the minimal polynomial for **d** is:

 $P(x) = 900*x^8 - 3600*x^7 - 924915*x^6 + 3682560*x^5 + 46667896*x^4 - 169170199*x^3 - 171012829*x^2 - 128950608*x - 42983536$

The root of this polynomial near 7 is:

x = 7.00000085736748328572881969310250391260161759...

Another root is **d** when the outer triangle is turned 180 degrees along the common base. I fail to see what the other the other positive real roots might be, however. Any idea?

Best regards,

Gerson.

Re: A weekend quickie

Message #50 Posted by Valentin Albillo on 1 Feb 2013, 3:37 a.m., in response to message #49 by Gerson W. Barbosa

Quote:

I fail to see what the other the other positive real roots might be, however. Any idea?

I don't expect the other roots to have any physical meaning at all, it's just that the nested square roots in the closed-form expression for **d** do require an 8thdegree minimal polynomial (no lesser degree will do) and, unavoidably, it must have 7 other spurious roots, that's all.

Should the closed-form expression have included three nested square roots, a 16th degree minimal polynomial would have been likely and then you'd have 15 spurious roots, several of them complex. Surely you wouldn't expect all of them to be geometrically significant for the simple original problem.

When mathematically modeling physical or geometrical problems it's frequently the case that the resulting equations do have a number of spurious solutions and only one (or a few of them) are physically relevant.

Thanks for your interest, Gerson, have a nice weekend. V.

Re: A weekend quickie

Message #51 Posted by **fhub** on 1 Feb 2013, 11:39 a.m., in response to message #50 by Valentin Albillo

Quote:

I don't expect the other roots to have any physical meaning at all, it's just that the nested square roots in the closed-form expression for **d** do require an 8th-degree minimal polynomial (no lesser degree will do) and, unavoidably, it must have 7 other spurious roots, that's all.

I don't understand how you got an 8th-degree minimal polynomial!?

Gerson's formula was this: d = sqrt((61421 - 23*sqrt(5831385))/120)

1) squaring this equation removes the first sqrt: $d^2=61421/120-23*sqrt(5831385)/120$

2) isolating the sqrt on the right side gives: d^2-61421/120=-23*sqrt(5831385)/120

3) now squaring again removes the last sqrt: (14400*d^4-14741040*d^2+3772539241)/14400=205653511/960

Simplifying this equation results in: $900*d^{4}-921315*d^{2}+42983536=0$

So I get only a 4th-degree minimal polynomial - in fact it's even simpler, because it's only a bi-quadratic polynomial (I hope it's called so also in English), and thus there are only 4 solutions:

d = +/-7.00000085736748 and d = +/-31.21991883610556

Franz

Re: A weekend quickie

Message #52 Posted by Walter B on 1 Feb 2013, 11:59 a.m., in response to message #51 by fhub

Using the WP 34S solver, I get $d = \pm 7.00000085736749 \pm 1$ ULP and $d = \pm 31.21991883610557 \pm 1$ ULP, FWIW.

d:-)

Re: A weekend quickie Message #53 Posted by *fhub* on 1 Feb 2013, 12:17 p.m., in response to message #52 by Walter B

20-digit precision:

d = +/-7.000000857367483285 or d = +/-31.219918836105561685

Edited: 1 Feb 2013, 12:19 p.m.

Re: A weekend quickie

Message #54 Posted by Walter B on 1 Feb 2013, 1:01 p.m., in response to message #53 by fhub

OK, this is equivalent within the error limits stated :-)

But how about extending the competition to 34 digits precision? The WP 34S results are:

 $d = \pm \ 7.000 \ 000 \ 085 \ 736 \ 748 \ 328 \ 572 \ 881 \ 969 \ 310 \ 250$

and

 $d=\pm\;31.219\;918\;836\;105\;560\;168\;517\;182\;990\;245\;632$

. . .

d:-)

Re: A weekend quickie	
Message #55 Posted by <mark>fhub</mark> on 1 Feb 2013, 1:10) p.m.,

in response to message #54 by Walter B

.

Quote:

But how about extending the competition to 34 digits precision?

No problem, how about 100 digits?

d =

 $\begin{vmatrix} \text{or} \\ \text{d} = \end{vmatrix}$

Not enough? Well, how many do you want? ;-)

Re: A weekend quickie

Message #56 Posted by Walter B on 1 Feb 2013, 1:44 p.m., in response to message #55 by fhub

I guess you're cheating and using unfair means like PCs or other appalling stuff;-)

Re: A weekend quickie

Message #57 Posted by **Thomas Klemm** on 1 Feb 2013, 1:27 p.m., in response to message #51 by fhub

Quote:

I don't understand how you got an 8th-degree minimal polynomial!?

$$(x^4 - 4x^3 - 4x^2 - 3x - 1) (900x^4 - 921315 x^2 + 42983536)$$

Cheers Thomas

Re: A weekend quickie

Message #58 Posted by *fhub* on 1 Feb 2013, 1:37 p.m., in response to message #57 by Thomas Klemm

Quote:

 $(x^4 - 4x^3 - 4x^2 - 3x - 1) (900x^4 - 921315 x^2 + 42983536)$

Hi Thomas,

that doesn't answer my question. I wanted to know why Valentin got an 8th-degree minimal polynomial, when in fact a 4th-degree is enough?

Franz

Re: A weekend quickie

Message #59 Posted by **Thomas Klemm** on 1 Feb 2013, 2:34 p.m., in response to message #58 by fhub

True. It just shows how the two equations are related.

Quote:

I quickly solved it in my HP-71B using an intuitive (but far-from-optimum) approach that involves solving a couple of very simple systems of non-linear equations, let's see how you do it.

My assumption is, that he used a different set of equations than Gersons solution:

d = sqrt((61421 - 23*sqrt(5831385))/120)

Quote:

it's just that the nested square roots in the closed-form expression for d do require an 8th-degree minimal polynomial (no lesser degree will do)

I don't understand why he insisted on that when it's obviously wrong. The degree of the minimal polynomial of d is 4.

But yes, I'd be interested in the answer to your question as well.

Cheers

Thomas

Re: A weekend quickie

Message #60 Posted by Valentin Albillo on 1 Feb 2013, 2:57 p.m., in response to message #51 by fhub

Quote:

I don't understand how you got an 8th-degree minimal polynomial!? Gerson's formula was this: d = sqrt((61421 - 23*sqrt(5831385))/120) [...] Simplifying this equation results in:

900*d^4-921315*d^2+42983536=0

So I get only a 4th-degree minimal polynomial [...]

Absolutely correct, Franz.

I used an on-line PSLQ algorithm on a 100-digit approximation to the value and though it correctly found an integer relation regrettably it wasn't the lowest-degree, minimal polynomial I expected it to find.

Your biquadratic polynomial is a factor of the 8th-degree one I gave, of course, and it does contain the correct value as a root.

So much for trusting free online tools without checking ... :)

Thanks for pointing it out and have a nice weekend.

Re: A weekend quickie

Message #61 Posted by Mike Reed on 26 Jan 2013, 2:17 p.m., in response to message #24 by fhub

V

This is only absolutely true IF the figure is two dimensional (all 4 points lie in the same plane) If the figure is 3 dimensional (a tetrahedron) then the correct length has a range where the minimum is 7. What is the maximum? :o)

mike

Re: A weekend quickie

Message #62 Posted by **Dave Shaffer (Arizona)** on 26 Jan 2013, 5:21 p.m., in response to message #61 by Mike Reed

If you have complete freedom to move one of the apexes around, you should move it to the other side - in other words, flip one of the triangles top to bottom (or, rotate 180 degrees around the length 30 side). The result is planar again, but I think that will maximize d.

I get a value of 31.219918836 for that (with my HP35S, using Heron's rule to find the areas and then the heights of the triangles to ascertain the coordinates of the two corners connected by d).

Re: A weekend quickie

Message #63 Posted by **Gerson W. Barbosa** on 30 Jan 2013, 11:43 p.m., in response to message #62 by Dave Shaffer (Arizona)

Quote:

I get a value of 31.219918836 for that

This is one of the positive roots of

 $900*x^4 - 921315*x^2 + 42983536 = 0$

The other is the answer to the original problem.

http://www.wolframalpha.com/input/?i=simplify+%5Bsqrt%28%28%28sqrt%2852535%29%2F12+-+sqrt%2858719%29%2F20%29%5E2+%2B+%28131%2F12+-+209%2F20%29%5E2%29%5D

Re: A weekend quickie

Message #64 Posted by **Gilles Carpentier** on 25 Jan 2013, 5:04 p.m., in response to message #1 by Valentin Albillo

Here is a solution for HP39GII which use the TRIANGLE "Apps" :

EXPORT TRI(a,b,c,d,f)
BEGIN
L1:=SSS(a,d,f)-SSS(a,b,c);
L1:=SAS(b,L1(3),d);

L1(1);

END;

TRI(30,23,16,27,22)

returns

... the answer ;)

SSS Uses the lengths of the three sides of a triangle to calculate the measures of the three angles

SAS Uses the length of two sides and the measure of the included angle to calculate the length of the third side and the measures of the other two angles.

Nota : There is a mistake in the documentation. these functions doesn't returns a list all 6 values but only the 3 unknows. But I like the "integrated" help (in french ;) on this calculator (for triangle you have AAS ASA SAS SSA SSS)

Edited: 25 Jan 2013, 5:44 p.m.

Re: A weekend quickie

Message #65 Posted by **C.Ret** on 26 Jan 2013, 10:29 a.m., in response to message #64 by Gilles Carpentier

Good catch Gilles ! Bien joué.

[Return to Index | Top of Index]